### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	CRIMINAL NO. 4:21-CR-16
	§	
E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND	C <b>O.</b> §	
and	§	
KENNETH J. SANDEL	§	

# SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT ON RISK OF TWO TRIALS FOLLOWING HEARING ON JULY 29 AND MOTION TO STAY TRIAL PENDING EXPEDITED APPEAL OF DISMISSED COUNTS

Counsel wishes better to articulate the "case management" answer that arose at the zoom hearing on July 29.

First, the Defendants, like the Court, do not want to try this case twice. The fairest way to avoid that risk is to *stay* the undismissed count(s) and allow an expedited appeal of the dismissed one(s). One of two things will happen: Either (1) the Court of Appeals will affirm the dismissal or (2) in the case of a remand, at least we may get clarification of the meaning of the vague and ambiguous terms in counts one and two. Otherwise, we will try the case with uncertainty about what the law forbids and what jury instruction to give – because the allegations are at best vague and ambiguous and would benefit from appellate review. If the Court orders a trial now on all three counts, and there is a conviction that then gets remanded on appeal, that is what will create the second trial –

because there is no assurance that the government at that point would dismiss the remanded count.

Second, since the Order of Dismissal, and the government's notice of appeal to the Fifth Circuit, we put "pencils down" on trial preparation precisely because we expected that the Court would not want to schedule a partial trial while Count One was on appeal. In the interim, our Fall and Winter schedule has been filled with trials – including two with clients in custody (including one set for September in Beaumont, alleging counterfeit sales of pharmaceuticals, and a shorter one set for December in Dallas, alleging harboring).

For these and the other reasons in our briefs, we ask the Court to stay this trial and allow an expedited appeal on dismissed counts.

### Respectfully submitted,

### /s/ David Gerger

David Gerger dgerger@gkfirm.com Ashlee McFarlane amcfarlane@gkfirm.com

## GERGER HENNESSY & MCFARLANE, LLP

1001 Fannin St. Suite 2450 Houston, Texas 77002 713.224.4400 – Telephone 713.224.5153 – Facsimile

Lily N. Chinn lily.chinn@bakerbotts.com

### **BAKER BOTTS**

101 California Street Suite 3600 San Francisco, California 94111 415.291.6214 – Telephone 415.291.6314 – Fax

Nadira Clarke nadira.clarke@bakerbotts.com Steve Solow steve.solow@bakerbotts.com

#### **BAKER BOTTS**

700 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202.639.7700 – Telephone 202.639.7890 - Fax

### ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND CO.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I	have filed t	his pleading v	with the C	lerk of Cou	art using the	e CM/ECF	system,	which
will serv	e a copy or	counsel of re	ecord.					

/s/ David Gerger
David Gerger